

REFERENCES

- Angell, J. R. (1906). An introductory study of the structure and function of human conscious. *New York: Henry Holt and Company.*
- Asadoorian, M. O., & Kantarelis, D. (2005). *Essentials of inferential statistics.* University Press of America.
- Atkinson, D. (2018). Theory in second language writing. *In The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching.*
- Barrot, J. S. (2020). Integrating technology into ESL/EFL writing through Grammarly. *RELC Journal*, 1-5.
- Blake, R., Sekuler, R. (2006). Perception. *New York: McGraw Hill.*
- Bloch, Joel. (2018). Technology for teaching English as a second language (ESL) writing. *In The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching.*
- Cavaleri, M. R., & Dianati, S. (2016). You want me to check your grammar again? The usefulness of an online grammar checker as perceived by students. *Journal of Academic Language and Learning*, 10(1), 223-236.
- Chen, C. F. E., & Cheng, W. Y. E. (2008). Beyond the design of automated writing evaluation: Pedagogical practices and perceived learning effectiveness in EFL writing classes. *Language Learning & Technology*, 12(2), 94-112.
- Creswell, J. W. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method approaches.* Sage.
- Daniels, P., & Leslie, D. (2013). Grammar software ready for EFL writers. *OnCue Journal*, 9(4), 391-401.
- Darayani, N. A., Karyuatry, L. L., & Rizqan, M. D. A. (2018). Grammarly as a tool to improve students' writing quality. *Edulitics (Education, Literature, and Linguistics) Journal*, 3(1), 36-42.
- Dizon, G., & Gayed, J. M. (2021). Examining the impact of Grammarly on the quality of mobile L2 writing. *The JALT CALL Journal*, 17(2), 74-92.
- Dong, Y., & Shi, L. (2021). Using Grammarly to support students' source-based writing practices. *Assessing Writing.*
- Fisher, M. J., & Marshall, A. P. (2009). Understanding descriptive statistics. *Australian Critical Care*, 22(2), 93-97.

- Fitria, R. A., Sabarun, S., & Miftah, M. Z. (2022). Students' perception of the use of Grammarly in undergraduate thesis writing. *Professional Journal of English Education*, 5(2), 366-371.
- Fitria, T. N. (2021). Grammarly as AI-powered English writing assistant: Students' alternative for writing English. *Metathesis: Journal of English Language, Literature, and Teaching*, 5(1), 65-78.
- Ghufron, M. A., Saleh, M., & Sofwan, A. (2016). A model of research paper writing instructional materials for academic writing course: Needs & documents analysis and model design. *English Language Teaching*, 9(3), 1-12.
- Grimes, D., & Warschauer, M. (2010). Utility in a fallible tool: A multi-site case study of automated writing evaluation. *The Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment*, 8(6), 1-44.
- Grammarly. (2020). Grammarly: Free online writing assistant. Available at: <https://www.grammarly.com/>. Accessed 29 August 2022.
- Guo, Q., Feng, R., & Hua, Y. (2021). How effectively can EFL students use automated written corrective feedback (AWCF) in research writing?. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 1-20.
- Halim, A., Sharina, S., & Zur, S. (2022). Grammarly as a tool to enhance students' self-directed learning. *KnE Social Sciences*, 5-13.
- Hidayatun, M., Nurfaidah, S., Humaera, I., & Gazaly, M. (2021). Students' metalinguistic awareness in L2 writing: The case of grammarly-mediated feedback. *Al-TA'DIB: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Kependidikan*, 14(2), 128-137.
- Holladay, S. A., & Brown, T. L. (1981). *Teacher's manual, options in rhetoric, writing and reading*. Prentice-Hall.
- Jackson, R. L., Drummond, D. K., & Camara, S. (2007). What is qualitative research?. *Qualitative Research Reports in Communication*, 8(1), 21-28.
- Jones, S., Myhill, D., & Bailey, T. (2013). Grammar for writing? An investigation of the effects of contextualized grammar teaching on students' writing. *Reading and Writing*, 26(8), 1241-1263.
- Koltovskaia, S. (2020). Student engagement with automated written corrective feedback (AWCF) provided by Grammarly: A multiple case study. *Assessing Writing*, 44, 1-12.
- Krosnick, J. A. (2018). Questionnaire design. In *The Palgrave handbook of survey research*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.

- Kuteeva, M. (2018). European perspectives on second language writing pedagogy. *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching*.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, M. A., & Saldana, J. (2014). *Data analysis of qualitative research: A method source book* (3rd ed). Thousand Oaks CA: Sage Publication.
- Moon, J. A. (2006). *Learning journals: A handbook for reflective practice and professional development*. Abigdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Nova, M. (2018). Utilizing Grammarly in evaluating academic writing: A narrative research on EFL students' experience. *Premise: Journal of English Education and Applied Linguistics*, 7(1), 80-96.
- Nguyen, Q. D., Fernandez, N., Karsenti, T., & Charlin, B. (2014). What is reflection? A conceptual analysis of major definitions and a proposal of a five-component model. *Medical Education*, 48(12), 1176-1189.
- Nunan, D. (1991). *Language teaching methodology: A textbook for teachers*. Prentice hall.
- O'Neill R, Russell A (2019) Stop! grammar time: University students' perceptions of the automated feedback program Grammarly. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(1), 42–56.
- Opara, V., Spangsdorf, S., & Ryan, M. K. (2021). Reflecting on the use of Google Docs for online interviews: Innovation in qualitative data collection. *Qualitative Research*, 1-18.
- Oxford English Dictionary. (2007). *Learner's Pocket Dictionary* 7 th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Park, J. (2019). An AI-based English grammar checker vs. human raters in evaluating EFL learners' writing. *Multimedia-Assisted Language Learning*, 22(1), 112-131.
- Perdana, I., Manullang, S. O., & Masri, F. A. (2021). Effectiveness of online Grammarly application in improving academic writing: Review of experts experience. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1), 122-130.
- Qiang, Z. (2014). An experimental research on applying automated essay scoring system to college English writing course. *International Journal of English Language Teaching*, 1(2), 35-41.
- Ranalli, J. (2018). Automated written corrective feedback: How well can students make use of it?. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 31(7), 653–674.

- Roughton, J., Crutchfield, N., & Waite, M. (2019). *Safety culture: An innovative leadership approach*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Saldana, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers (3rd ed.)*. Sage Publications.
- Seow, A. (2002). B The writing process and process writing. *Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice*, 315, 320.
- Stapor, K. (2020). Descriptive and inferential statistics. *Introduction to Probabilistic and Statistical Methods with Examples in R*, 176, 63-131.
- Susanti, D. E. (2015). *The investigation of students' perceptions of English teacher and their English learning motivation in the first grade of vocational high school 1 Tenganan* (Doctoral dissertation, IAIN Salatiga).
- Suzuki, W., Nassaji, H., & Sato, K. (2019). The effects of feedback explicitness and type of target structure on accuracy in revision and new pieces of writing. *System*, 81, 135–145.
- Ware, P. (2018). Automated writing assessment. *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching* (1-7).
- West, H., Malcolm, G., Keywood, S., & Hill, J. (2019). Writing a successful essay. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 43(4), 609-617.
- Wilson, J., & Czik, A. (2016). Automated essay evaluation software in English language arts classrooms: Effects on teacher feedback, student motivation, and writing quality. *Computers & Education*, 100, 94-109.
- Zarrabi, F., & Bozorgian, H. (2020). EFL students' cognitive performance during argumentative essay writing: A log-file data analysis. *Computers and Composition*, 55, 1-17.
- Zhang, Z. (2020). Engaging with automated writing evaluation (AWE) feedback on L2 writing: Student perceptions and revisions. *Elsevier Inc: Assessing Writing*, 43, 1-14.
- Zupanc, K., & Bosnic, Z. (2015). Advances in the field of automated essay evaluation. *Informatika*, (39), 383- 395.